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Outline & Learning Objectives

- Review Trends in Hypertension & BP Control in the US
- Review Key Approaches and Implementation Strategies to Improve BP Control Using the Socioecological Framework
- Priorities/Gaps
Trends in Prevalence of Hypertension

Systolic BP ≥140 mm Hg or diastolic BP ≥90 mm Hg

N=32,599
NHANES

Trends in BP Control in the US

A  Blood pressure control among all adults with hypertension

Systolic BP ≥140 mm Hg or diastolic BP ≥90 mm Hg

N=18,268 NHANES

Black and Hispanic adults have higher systolic blood pressure compared to White adults

NHANES: 1999-2002 and 2015-2018

N=51,743
NHANES

Recommend specifying systolic pressure in the title.

While the systolic pressure may be higher in Hispanic adults per this article, the prevalence per the CDC is lower in this population than in White adults:
High blood pressure is more common in non-Hispanic black adults (56%) than in non-Hispanic white adults (48%), non-Hispanic Asian adults (46%), or Hispanic adults (39%)
https://www.cdc.gov/bloodpressure/facts.htm
Health Disparities in BP Control have Historic Roots

AHA PRESIDENTIAL ADVISORY

Call to Action: Structural Racism as a Fundamental Driver of Health Disparities: A Presidential Advisory From the American Heart Association

Keith Churchwell, MD, FAHA, Chair, Mitchell S.V. Elkind, MD, MS, FAHA, Regina M. Benjamin, MD, MBA, April P. Carson, PhD, MSPH, FAHA, Edward K. Chang, BS, Willie Lawrence, MD, FAHA, Andrew Mills, MPH, Tanya M. Odom, EdM, Carlos J. Rodriguez, MD, MPH, FAHA, Fatima Rodriguez, MD, MPH, FAHA, Eduardo Sanchez, MD, MPH, Anjail Z. Sharrief, MD, MPH, FAHA, Mario Sims, PhD, MS, FAHA, Olajide Williams, MD, MS, and On behalf of the American Heart Association

Barriers to BP Control Occur at Multiple Levels

• System
• Policy
• Community
• Organization
• Individual
Purpose of the Scientific Statement

• Most guidelines and scientific statements have not focused on implementation strategies for BP control. **KEY BARRIER**

• Provide information on implementation strategies to optimize treatment, and improve BP control among US adults

• Focuses on strategies at multiple levels of the socio-ecological framework.
Cross-Cutting Strategies to Improve BP Control

**Table 3. Implementation strategies for improving blood pressure control and the socio-ecological framework level that each approach targets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Socioecological Framework</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Individual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiracism Efforts</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accurate BP measurement and increasing adoption of self-measured BP monitoring</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team-based care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle modification strategies</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertensive medication treatment protocols</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving acceptance and adherence to antihypertensive medications</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous quality improvement</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large-scale implementation and dissemination</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Accurate Blood Pressure Measurement

Office BP
Automated oscillometric devices preferred

https://www.validatebp.org/

https://www.stridebp.org/

Out-of-office BP
Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitoring (ABPM) or Home Blood Pressure Monitoring (HBPM): to confirm the diagnosis of hypertension and for titration of BP-lowering medication.

Self-measured BP (SMBP) in conjunction with telehealth counseling or clinical interventions

Images courtesy of Dr. Abdalla
SMBP – Home Blood Pressure Monitoring

HBPM testing protocol

• 7 consecutive days (3 days of readings acceptable)
• 2 readings each morning, 2 readings before bed for 1 week
• Ensure using a validated device
• Feasible and more available compared to ABPM
Limitations of SMBP

• OTC devices not often validated & not always covered by insurance
• Wrong cuff sizes
• Automatic recording not always available, telehealth integration is limited
• Long-term patient adherence challenging
• CPT codes 99473 and 99474 for SBMP monitoring but currently underused
• Reimbursement low: $9-15, can only be billed once/device and every 30 days

Which is “superior”: ABPM or HBPM?

• Systematic Review of 1,007 articles, 9 articles with 7 cohorts examining whether BP on ABPM or HBPM is more strongly associated with cardiovascular disease events and/or mortality.

• ABPM adjusted for HBPM: systolic BP on ABPM was associated with outcomes in 2 of 4 cohorts and 2 of 3 cohorts for diastolic BP.

• HBPM adjusted for ABPM: systolic BP on HBPM was associated with outcomes in 0 of 3 cohorts; and 1 of 2 cohorts for diastolic BP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2017 ACC/AHA Blood Pressure Guidelines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Untreated</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime ABPM (preferred)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBPM (alternative)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***HBPM may be more reliable and more strongly correlated with left ventricular mass***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systolic BP</th>
<th>Office BP</th>
<th>Home BP</th>
<th>Awake BP on 24-hour ABPM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reliability (ICC)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systolic BP</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office, Omron</td>
<td>5.08 (0.61) P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.32 (0.75) P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.26 (1.40) P=0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home BP</td>
<td>5.68 (0.54) P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>4.04 (0.64) P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>4.88 (1.59) P=0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awake Ambulatory BP</td>
<td>5.93 (0.73) P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.71 (0.81) P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.91 (1.71) P=0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model 1 is unadjusted and regresses LVMI on each BP measure separately. Model 2 regresses LVMI on each BP measure separately, adjusting for age, sex, black race, Hispanic ethnicity, body mass index, and diabetes status. Model 3 regresses LVMI on office, home and awake ABP simultaneously, adjusting for covariates in Model 2.

SMBP vs Usual Care: Meta-Analysis of 26 Studies

N= 6300  
Primary outcome = office SBP at 12 months

- SMBP alone
- SMBP + web-based/phone support,
- SMBP + web-based/phone support with patient education
- SMBP + individualized counseling or telecounseling.

Overall, self-monitoring was associated with reduced office SBP at 12 months compared to usual care (systolic -3.2 mmHg, 95% CI -4.9 to -1.6 mmHg).

Moderate or high levels of support had statistically significant greater mean reductions in SBP (4.4–6.1 mm Hg) and DBP (1.5–2.3 mm Hg) and substantial improvements in BP control (43%–56%) compared with usual care.

Team-Based Care

- Provision of health services by at least 2 health care professionals working collaboratively with patient
- Systematic review of 54 studies (2012–2020) conducted by Community Preventive Services Task Force
- 21 studies: SMBP was part of the team-based care intervention
- SBP: Median decrease of 3.5 mmHg, DBP: Median decrease of 2.1 mmHg
- The median cost per QALY gained was $15,202 (IQI: $3,569 to $34,509)
- Care in community settings is effective—faith based organizations, pharmacies, home out-reach visits: task-shifting
- Wider availability of SMBP monitoring in settings where individuals “sleep, work, and play”

Standardized Antihypertensive Treatment Protocols / Improving Medication Acceptance & Adherence

- 12% of patients with hypertension never fill initial prescriptions
- Multicomponent approach is needed to improve acceptance & adherence
- Once daily **inexpensive** single dose combination pills; blister/dose packs
- Use of standardized protocols facilitate team-based medication titration and improve clinician inertia
- Refill reminders, financial incentives for patients/care teams
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Team Based Care + Treatment Protocols

A Cluster-Randomized Trial of Blood-Pressure Reduction in Black Barbershops


Sustainability of Blood Pressure Reduction in Black Barbershops

Ronald G. Victor, Ciantel A. Blyler, Ning Li, Kathleen Lynch, Norma B. Moy, Mohamad Rashid, L. Cindy Chang, Joel Handler, Jeffrey Brettlr, Florian Rader and Robert M. Elashoff
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Barbershop Study: NEJM 2018

• Community engagement and partnership with 52 Black-owned barbershops
• 319 Black males with HTN
• Collaborative agreement created, Cluster-randomized trial
• Pharmacist-led intervention – BP measured by both pharmacists and some barbers. Pharmacists used protocols to achieve BP control and transmitted information electronically to hypertension specialists.
• Control group: Barbers encouraged lifestyle modification and doctor follow up.
• Primary outcome: Change in systolic blood pressure from baseline to 6 months.
Barbershop Study Results

• NEJM 2018: 6-month study: Mean fall in systolic blood pressure was 27.0 mm Hg (to 125.8 mm Hg) in the intervention group; 63.6% achieved BP < 130/80 mmHg (p< 0.001)
• Mean fall in SBP was 9.3 mm Hg (to 145.4 mm Hg) in the control group
• Circulation 2019: 12-month study follow up: Mean fall in systolic BP was 28.6 mm Hg (to 123.8 mm Hg) in the intervention group; 68.0% achieved BP < 130/80 mmHg (p< 0.02)
• Mean fall in SBP was 7.2 mm Hg (to 147.4 mm Hg) in the control group.
• Cohort retention at 12 months was 90% in both groups.
Financial Levers

• Core to all key strategies
• Individual: Reducing patient out-of-pocket costs for antihypertensive medications including combination pills & SMBP monitoring
• Inadequate coverage limits wider implementation of SMBP monitoring
• Clinical: Device SMBP loaner programs
• Policy: increase reimbursement rates for CPT codes 99473, 99474 for clinician SMBP monitoring
Lifestyle Modification Strategies

- Individual behavior change is hard
- Clinicians often untrained in counseling—nutrition, physical activity, sleep
- Task shifting to other members with behavioral modification expertise would be more impactful
- Salt reduction at population level—NYC National Salt and Sugar Reduction Initiative: voluntary targets for packaged and restaurant food items
- FDA guidance on voluntary targets for sodium reduction in processed, packaged, and prepared foods

Built Environment

• Built environment affected by historic redlining, climate change affects built environment
• Increasing access to green spaces & improving neighborhood walkability
• REGARDS study: Walkability was measured using Street Smart Walk Score based on Black/White participants' residential information (n=6,894)
  • Score ≥70—More walkable, Score <70 less walkable.
  • Primary outcome: incident hypertension
  • Score ≥70 was associated with a lower risk of incident hypertension (RR [95%CI]: 0.85 [0.74, 0.98], p = 0.02), similar across racial/ethnic groups

Continuous Quality Improvement

• At clinician and health systems levels
• Combo of patient education, team-based care, facilitated relay of clinical data, audit and feedback improve processes of care and improvement in BP control
• Meta-analysis of 44 articles: Are QI programs effective in producing clinically meaningful reductions in blood pressure? Which QI strategies?
• Mean effects across all interventions: reductions in SBP of 4.2 mm Hg (95% CI 1.8, 6.6) and DBP of 1.9 mm Hg (95% CI 0.7, 3.1).
• Interventions that included team-based care as a QI strategy were associated with the largest reductions in blood pressure outcomes.

Antiracism efforts

- Racism occurs at multiple levels: individual, interpersonal, internalized, institutional, structural.
- Higher vs lower lifetime discrimination associated with higher HTN incidence for Black adults from JHS Hazard ratio, 1.34 [95% CI, 1.07-1.68]).
- Organization, community, level policies systems need to be restructured
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Multicomponent approach: AMA MAP BP

- Measure accurately, Act rapidly, Partner with patients
- 6-month hypertension quality improvement program
- Initially conducted as a pilot program in 1 clinic: N=714, in South Carolina. 49.9% Medicaid, 50.2% Black adults, 18-85 yo
- Utilized existing team care. Focused on improving BP measurement and decreasing therapeutic inertia using protocol guided therapy.
- Clinicians received a monthly “score card” that included BP control for their panel of adults with hypertension, therapeutic inertia score, and the change of SBP with each therapeutic intensification.
- BP control improved from 61.2% to 89.9% at 6 months (P < .0001)

MAP BP at 12 months

- MAP framework tested in 16 Family Medicine clinics.
- Practice facilitation withdrawn after 6 months to determine BP control rates at 6 and 12 months.
- 16,787 adults, BP control improved from 64.4% at baseline to 74.3% ($P<0.001$) at 6 months and 73.6% ($P<0.001$) at 12 months.
- Total cost/1,000 patients: ≈$3600
- Practical evidence-based program that works and improves BP control
- 3,700 health care organizations
- Typical timeline from start to implementation in organizations: 6-12 months

Egan BM, Sutherland SE, Rakotz M, Yang J, Hanlin RB, Davis RA, Wozniak G. Improving hypertension control in primary care with the measure accurately, act rapidly, and partner with patients protocol. - Hypertension. 2018; 72:1320–1327
IMPLEMENT SMBP

Ready to launch a self-measured blood pressure (SMBP) monitoring program within your medical practice?

Getting Prepared

These recommendations will help you prepare for a successful SMBP program.

https://targetbp.org/patient-measured-bp/implementing/
Getting Prepared

These recommendations will help you prepare for a successful SMBP program.

Staffing

**Team-based Care: identify a champion**
- Include at least 1 physician and health care team at your office.
- If multiple physicians will participate, designate a **physician champion** to learn about the program and help colleagues succeed.

Budgeting

**Financial Levers**
- For each physician participating, budget for 2 to 3 SMBP loaner devices (approximately $75 each) for patients who are unable or unwilling to purchase one themselves.

Scheduling

- Plan for an hour to train your staff on implementing the program in your practice.
- Each patient identified for SMBP will need 5 to 6 minutes of education and training by an MA or RN.
- After each patient completes a week of SMBP for either diagnosis of hypertension or assessment of blood pressure control, allow 5 minutes for staff to calculate the SMBP average and enter the data into the patient’s medical record. Add an additional 5 minutes if a loaner device needs to be prepared for another patient.

Use the device inventory and management guide

Up Next: Loaning Out Devices

https://targetbp.org/patient-measured-bp/implementing/
Name of Practice
Protocol for Controlling Hypertension in Adults

The blood pressure (BP) goal is set by a combination of factors including scientific evidence, clinical judgment, and patient tolerance. For most people, the goal is <140 and <90; however some individuals may be better served by other BP goals. Lifestyle modifications (LM)* should be initiated in all patients with hypertension (HTN) and patients should be assessed for target organ damage and existing cardiovascular disease. Self-monitoring is encouraged for most patients throughout their care and requesting and reviewing readings from home and community settings can help in achieving and maintaining good control. For patients with hypertension and certain medical conditions, specific medications should be considered, as listed in the box on the right below.

Systolic 140-159 or diastolic 90-99
(Stage 1 HTN)
- LM as a trial
- Consider adding thiazide

Systolic >160 or diastolic >100
(Stage 2 HTN)
Two drugs preferred:
- LM and
- Thiazide and ACEI, ARB, or CCB
- Or consider ACEI and CCB

Medications to consider for patients with hypertension and certain medical conditions
- Coronary artery disease/Post Ml: BB, ACEI
- Heart failure with reduced EF: ACEI or ARB, BB (approved for this use), ALDO, diuretic
- Heart failure with preserved EF: ACEI or ARB, BB (approved for this use), diuretic
- Diabetes: ACEI or ARB, diuretic, BB, CCB
- Kidney disease: ACEI or ARB
- Stroke or TIA: diuretic, ACEI

Re-check and review readings within 3 months

Re-check and review readings in 2-4 weeks

BP at goal?

NO
- Thiazide for most patients or

YES
- Encourage self-monitoring and
- Optimize dosage(s) or add additional medications

Priorities & Critical Gaps

• Large scale dissemination is lagging – current implementation toolkits exist for some strategies such as SMBP monitoring, team-based care, and antihypertensive medication protocols

• Coordinated multilevel interventions are needed including across organizations (silos exist)

• Scaling up interventions – while tackling adverse social determinants of health and adopting antiracism strategies

• Increasing financial strategies at all levels: organizations/community/clinician/patient
Priorities & Critical Gaps cont’d

• Improving health systems data infrastructure to rapidly identify and act upon key BP control process measures
• Training of clinicians and multidisciplinary team on protocols & SMBP monitoring
• Large-scale implementation of lifestyle programs across health systems and communities
• Evaluating antiracism, health equity implementation strategies on BP control within communities
Questions?

Please submit your questions through the questions pane
Thank You

Marwah Abdalla, MD MPH
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